

Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport

Programs & Services Branch 401 Bay Street, Suite 1700 Toronto ON M7A 0A7

Criteria for Evaluating Potential for Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage Landscapes A Checklist for the Non-Specialist

The purpose of the checklist is to determine:

- if a property(ies) or project area:
 - is a recognized heritage property
 - may be of cultural heritage value
- it includes all areas that may be impacted by project activities, including but not limited to:
 - the main project area
 - · temporary storage
 - · staging and working areas
 - · temporary roads and detours

Processes covered under this checklist, such as:

- Planning Act
- Environmental Assessment Act
- Aggregates Resources Act
- Ontario Heritage Act Standards and Guidelines for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties

Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER)

If you are not sure how to answer one or more of the questions on the checklist, you may want to hire a qualified person(s) (see page 5 for definitions) to undertake a cultural heritage evaluation report (CHER).

The CHER will help you:

- identify, evaluate and protect cultural heritage resources on your property or project area
- reduce potential delays and risks to a project

Other checklists

Please use a separate checklist for your project, if:

- you are seeking a Renewable Energy Approval under Ontario Regulation 359/09 separate checklist
- your Parent Class EA document has an approved screening criteria (as referenced in Question 1)

Please refer to the Instructions pages for more detailed information and when completing this form.

-		roperty Name Upper's Quarry		
Project	or P	roperty Location (upper and lower or single tier municipality) agara Falls, Regional Municipality of Niagara (Part Lots 102, 119, 120, 136, 137, Twp. of Stamfo	ord)	
Propone Walke		lame ggregates Inc.		
•		Contact Information hl, Project Manager - Aggregates & Construction Division (KKehl@walkerind.com; 905-680-369)	92)	
Screen	ing	Questions		
			Yes	No
1. Is t	here	e a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place?		\checkmark
If Yes,	plea	ase follow the pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process.		
If No, o	ont	inue to Question 2.		
Part A:	Sc	reening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value		
			Vac	Na
2 Ha	e th	e property (or project area) been evaluated before and found not to be of cultural heritage value?	Yes	No
		not complete the rest of the checklist.	V	
rne pro		nent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
	•	summarize the previous evaluation and		
	•	add this checklist to the project file, with the appropriate documents that demonstrate a cultural heritage evaluation was undertaken		
The su	The summary and appropriate documentation may be:			
	•	submitted as part of a report requirement		
	•	maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		
If No, c	ont	inue to Question 3.		
			Yes	No
3. Is t	he p	property (or project area):		
	a.	identified, designated or otherwise protected under the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> as being of cultural heritage value?		
	b.	a National Historic Site (or part of)?		
	C.	designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?		
	d.	designated under the Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act?	Ħ	
	e.	identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO)?	П	\Box
	f.	located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site?		
If Yes t	o a	ny of the above questions, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
	•	a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report, if a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has not previously been		

• a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report, if a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has not previously been prepared or the statement needs to be updated

If a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has been prepared previously and if alterations or development are proposed, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:

• a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts

If No, continue to Question 4.

0500E (2016/11) Page 2 of 8

Pa	rt B: So	reening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value		
			Yes	No
4.	Does t	the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that:		
	a.	is the subject of a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque?		
	b.	has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery?		
	C.	is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed?		
	d.	contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old?		
Pa	rt C: Ot	her Considerations		
			Yes	No
5.	Is ther	e local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area)	:	
	a.	is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important in defining the character of the area?		
	b.	has a special association with a community, person or historical event?		
	C.	contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape?		
		ne or more of the above questions (Part B and C), there is potential for cultural heritage resources on the r within the project area.		
Υo	u need	to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
	•	a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER)		
		erty is determined to be of cultural heritage value and alterations or development is proposed, you need to lified person(s) to undertake:		
	•	a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
	lo to all perty.	of the above questions, there is low potential for built heritage or cultural heritage landscape on the		
Γh	e propo	nent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
	•	summarize the conclusion		
	•	add this checklist with the appropriate documentation to the project file		
Γh	e summ	ary and appropriate documentation may be:		
	•	submitted as part of a report requirement e.g. under the <i>Environmental Assessment Act, Planning Act</i>		

processes

maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority

0500E (2016/11) Page 3 of 8