Port Colborne Quarry Virtual Open House

Questions and Answers

#	Question	Answer
1	Were the Peer reviewers	No. Comments submitted to the Province on the Aggregate Resources Act
	provided with the comments	application would need to be resubmitted to the City and Region if the commenter
	submitted to the Provincial	wants them considered as part of the Planning Act process.
	agencies in response to the ERO	
	posting?	
2	David Schulz	Yes. The City has met with the Ministry of Transportation relative to the Provincial
	Have you had consultation with	Highway 3. The MTO will be formally circulated and have an opportunity to provide
	the Province with regards to this	formal comments on the application, once the revised submitted is received from the
	OP amendment and the	applicant.
	protection of the HWY #3/Main	
	Street corridor as required by	
	ROP Amendment 6 Policy	
	9.D.13?	
4	Golder Associates Inc. in July of	The Archaeological Assessments did not identify any burial sites within the proposed
	2020 identified ten sites of	quarry expansions lands. Eight of the ten sites with further cultural heritage value or
	"cultural heritage value or	interest are indigenous and euro-Canadian lithic scatters, and two are multi-
	interest" within the quarry. Do	component collections with a mix of indigenous, euro-Canadian, 20th century and
	any of these sites involve burial	faunal artifacts.
	sites or First Nation entities?	

#	Question	Answer
5	Britney Fricke Can you provide an explaination from a Regional perspective of how reducing the setback by 60 meters is protecting the established transportation corridor of HWY #3/Main Street and is consistent with the PPS 2020 and ROPA 6?	Regional staff have not determined whether the application is consistent with the PPS or ROP at this point in the process.
6	How many participants are in this meeting?	30 people attended the Open House.
7	Will there be a video copy of this meeting available for the registered attendees?	Due to technical issues with Zoom, a video copy of the meeting is not available.
8	At what point does the full environmental assessment take place on this application? And will it include the effect on the aquifer which comes from the Cdn. shield and travels to Pennsylvania? Considering the international impact, what other agencies should be aware?	A number of technical studies were submitted with the application, including a Hydrogeological Assessment/Water Resource Study, Natural Environment Report and Environmental Site Assessment/Soil Management Plan. The Province will be formally circulated the Planning Act applications upon receipt of revised submission that addresses Regional, City and NPCA comments.

#	Question	Answer
9	What is the owners plan if they	As a proactive measure, the Site Plans will require PCQ Inc. (Licensee) to undertake
	hit any water supply (well water)	ongoing monitoring of six (6) groundwater wells on the periphery of the subject lands,
	reducing or loosing water supply	these are referred to as 'sentry wells'. Because the data from these wells are always
	to the surrounding residents who	being monitored, PCQ has constant data related to overall groundwater level status
	have well water supply to their	surrounding the site. In the unlikely situation where a decrease was to begin to occur
	property? Same question to what	on-site (in advance of an off-site issue), the necessary and appropriate triggers would
	the owners plan is still with "filling	be immediately employed. However, if well interference was identified at an isolated
	in" the other quarries adjacent to	or cluster of neighbouring well, Sheet 5 of 9 of the Site Plans, under Hydrogeological
	this new amendment?	Study, provides the information on the Private Well Complaints Response Program
		and Potential Mitigation Options.
		The approved ARA Site Plans for PCQ Inc. Pit 2 and 3 (ARA License 4444) specify
		that the subject lands are to be rehabilitated to a passive lake, therefore, the subject
		lands, (adjacent quarries) will be "filling in" with water. At this juncture, PCQ Inc. is
		working towards preparing the lands to meet this rehabilitation requirement.
10	You have asked to drill well	The Province and conservation authority(ies) collect and manage baseline
10	below the water level. Self	groundwater level and quality from aquifers across Ontario. Data is collected through
	monitoring is not satisfactory.	the Provincial Groundwater Monitoring Network and available through Open Data.
	Will there be a federal	the Frovincial Groundwater Monitoring Network and available through Open Data.
	government agency involved to	
	monitor any contamination to the	
	aquifer?	
	aquiloi :	

#	Question	Answer
11	Will changes to the the	Yes. Updated submissions will be posted on the project page at
	submissions resulting from	https://portcolbornequarries.ca/ and the revisions will be easy to distinguish.
	reviews by the various agencies	
	and public meetings be identified	
	such that they will be easily	
	observable to the public so they	
	do not have to compare original	
	submissions to those revised?	
12	I live very close to the third pit.	The PCQ Inc. Pit 3 is always being monitored for vibration, (seismic activity) to record
	Why has seismic activity	possible impacts from the on-going blasting activity. The equipment is occasionally
	monitoring halted?	relocated to best capture on-going blasting within the quarry.
	Who has access to this	
	monitored activity?	PCQ Inc. and their blasting contractor retains the monitored data and it is always
	In past the sensors have been	available to MECP upon their request.
	placed during blasts at the corner	
	of Babion and Highway #3.	The monitored data compiled over the past many years confirms that PCQ Inc. has
	This monitoring seems to have	and continues to operate in compliance with MECP thresholds. Furthermore, as part
	been removed. Please explain	of the agency review, the 3rd party peer review consultant retained by Niagara
	why. How are we protected to	Region has requested a 'Flyrock Assessment' which we anticipate would address this
	blasts that could potentially	issue.
	cause property damage.	

#	Question	Answer
13	The unlicensed Pit 1 will be used for the processing of the blasted rock from Pit 3 which includes the crushing, screening, washing and storage of the blasted rock. They are no mitigation measures currently implemented at Pit 1 to protect the surrounding neighbourhood. No protection from the increase in noise, dust and contamintaion of the aquifer. If the expansion of pit 3 is approved, who and where does the accountabilty surrounding the continued use of Pit 1 fall under as it is an unlicensed pit.	Thank you for your feedback. The proposed application is for an expansion to Pit 3 and does not pertain to the Pit 1 lands. Discharges, including noise and air, are monitored and regulated by the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks, and complaints can be filed through the Ministry. The PPS includes policies that require progressive and final rehabilitation and encourage comprehensive rehabilitation. These policies will need to be addressed through the application process.
14	It good to hear that Pits 2 and 3 will only be filled with water once the quarry is retired, but what about the future filling of Pit 1? How is the acquirer below Pit one to be protected from backfill which includes industrial waste?	Thank you for your feedback. The proposed application is for an expansion to Pit 3 and does not pertain to the Pit 1 lands

#	Question	Answer
15	It has been stated that the pumps will be turned off when Pit 2 & 3 are fully mined out. What are the rehab plans for Pit #1 that has been mined out many years ago and that had been mined 8 meters into the aquifer?	The proposed application is for an expansion to Pit 3 and does not pertain to the Pit 1 lands. Pit 1 never has been licensed under the Aggregate Resources Act (nor its' predecessor the Pits and Quarries Control Act), and as such, no rehabilitation plan has ever been prepared nor required. The lands are properly zoned (City of Port Colborne Zoning By-law: Mineral Aggregate Operation – MAO) to permit the existing activities including aggregate processing. A portion of the lands are also zoned MOA-38-H permitting a concrete manufacturing plant subject to a (H) Holding provision requiring a Site Plan Agreement. Furthermore, because a portion of the site is designated as Environmental Protection (pertaining to an identified Area of Natural or Scientific Interest [ANSI] - geological formation), the only means to ensure it remains visible, is to continue to dewater the site.
16	Are the impact reports submitted publically available?	Current Operation (Pit 2 and Pit 3) Ongoing monitoring undertaken for the existing Pit 2 and Pit 3 includes blasting, groundwater pumping rates and surface water discharge. The former is available to MECP upon their request and the latter two are part of an annual report submitted to MECP by PCQ Inc. PCQ Inc. is unaware if MECP makes any of that information available to the public.

#	Question	Answer
17	With the answer by David Sisco	PCQ Inc. continues to work towards preparing the Pit 2 and 3 lands to meet the
	to the final rehabilitation, he was	existing ARA Site Plan rehabilitation requirements. However, Section 13 (2) of the
	evasive with the answer "at this	Aggregate Resources Act (ARA) provides all Licensee's the ability to request a Site
	juncture". This is of great	Plan Amendment to an existing licensed pit or quarry. Therefore, the Act allows PCQ
	concern. The answer also only	Inc. to request an Amendment (subject to meeting whatever justification requirements
	addressed Pit 2 and Pit 3 when	that MNDNRF deems necessary) and therefore, PCQ Inc. is not prepared to be have
	the haulage road is no longer	future corporate decisions fettered regarding their Pits 2 and 3.
	needed. Is the filling of Pit 1 "still	
	on the table"? And, what is the	The timing to surrender Pit 2 will necessitate the rehabilitation being completed, but
	schedule for relinquishing the	also the closure of the internal haul road wherein aggregate from Pit 3 and Pit 3
	licence for Pit 2 and Pit 1?	Extension will continue to be hauled to Pit 1 for processing. Once this occurs, the
		dewatering pumps will be removed and the subject lands allowed to fill with water.
		This is anticipated to happen within 12-15 years.
18	The dust from Pit 1 is choking	The proposed application is for an expansion to Pit 3 and does not pertain to the Pit 1
	thick! The MOE and MNR have	lands
	been notified but why is the	
	quarry allowing this?	
19	Currently we have a problem with	Thank you for your feedback.
	ther dust from the crushing at the	
	north side of Pit 2	
	This haas been going on for	
	some weeks	
	and continues with no single of	
	resolution.	

#	Question	Answer
20	What permit does PCQ have for and additional production facility on the floor of Pit 1 once the crushing and processing of aggregate is moved to Pit 3?	The existing uses in Pit 1 are permitted by the Zoning By-law. Future uses must be permitted by the existing Zoning By-law, or an application to amend the Zoning By-law would be required.
21	Are any impact reports submitted to the Ministry re impact assesements for current or proposed projects pubically available, and if so, how can they be accessed?	Current Operation (Pit 2 and Pit 3) Ongoing monitoring undertaken for the existing Pit 2 and Pit 3 includes blasting, groundwater pumping rates and surface water discharge. The former is available to MECP upon their request and the latter two are part of an annual report submitted to MECP by PCQ Inc. PCQ Inc. is unaware if MECP makes any of that information available to the public.
22	how far can they blast from a residence since they want to change from 90 to 30	The proposed setback of 30m is from the Highway 3 right-of-way, not from residences. The proposed limit of extraction is shown on the site plans posted on the project webpage at https://portcolbornequarries.ca/. The Site Plans for the Pit 3 Extension confirm that the proximity of blasting to a nearby residence is subject to on-going monitoring.
23	is there no concern for the large amount of agriculture crop land being lost here	Yes. An Agricultural Impact Assessment was submitted with the application to evaluate the impact to agriculture.
24	What authority does the Region and City have to enforce conditions once the zoning is approved?	If the application is approved, conditions would be included in the Aggregate Resources Act license and enforced by the Ministry.

#	Question	Answer
25	Lack of resolution to on going problems demonstrates that the current self monitoring by PCQ is ineffective. What will be done to rectify this if the expansion is granted.	If the application is approved, the quarry is obligated to report to the Ministry on compliance with the licensing requirements on an annual basis. Complaints of non-compliance with licensing requirements can be filing with the Ministry.
26	With the previous licence in 1982, the City and Region recommended conditions for licencing. The City and the quarry also entered into a Site Plan Agreement which was referenced in the licence. This was subsequently removed as it was not enforceable by MNR. How can these conditions be enforced?	The proposed application is for an expansion to Pit 3 and does not pertain to the Pit 1 lands.
27	I think my question speaks to the "self monitoring" and quality thereof, of the quarry. i think if the quarry chooses to not care about the dust coating nearby homes, it speaks to what we may expect in the future	Thank you for your feedback.

#	Question	Answer
28	What impact will this expansion have on Humberstone	The proposed expansion lands include the Humberstone Speedway property. If approved and the license granted, operation of the speedway would cease in
	Speedway, years to come?	accordance with the phasing plan.
29	what is the timeline for phase two to move processimg out of pit 1?	This is anticipated to occur within the first several years of production within the Pit 3 Extension. No definitive date is possible due to numerous operational variables, some which include; market conditions for the aggregate, permitting and construction of a hydro substation to accommodate extending Phase 3 power, construction of Phase 3 power from Pit 1 through Pit 2 to Pit 3, MNDNRF (IARS) approval of a Site Plan Amendment for the siting of the new plant facility within License 4444, purchasing of new crushing / screening equipment, assembly of the new plant, MECP permits for the operation of the new plant, constructing wash ponds within Pit 3, design / approval and construction of the Highway 3 entrance.
30	The proposed by-law and official plan amendment expansion of pit 3 has a direct inpact on the continued use of pit 1, so how is that not relevant to this meeting?	The proposed application is for an expansion to Pit 3 and does not pertain to the Pit 1 lands.
31	there is great concern regarding contamination at the speedway site and how will this be removed and where will this soil be relocated to?	An Environmental Site Assessment and Soil Management Plan was submitted with the applications to address contamination from the speedway. If the application is approved, additional environmental work and possible remediation will be required before the soils are disturbed.

Questio	tion	Answer
it is frust that Pit of under th aquifer u intercond cannot b occur at consider Who is r deal with 1? Robert is Liaison 0 ask of Jo informat a PLC p expectat can ask represer	ustrating to repeatedly hear lit 1 is not licensed and not the ARA; however, the er under Pit 1 is an connected ecosystem and of be ignored; how can risk at Pit 1 but not be dered for rehabilitation. It is now the authority that will with the rehabilitation of Pit er is referencing a Public on Committee to work and if JART members for mation. So, will there not be contact that random people is a questions rather than a sentative PLC group who communicate directly.	The proposed application is for an expansion to Pit 3 and does not pertain to the Pit 1 lands. Pit 1 never has been licensed under the Aggregate Resources Act (nor its' predecessor the Pits and Quarries Control Act), and as such, no rehabilitation plan has ever been prepared nor required. The lands are properly zoned (City of Port Colborne Zoning By-law: Mineral Aggregate Operation – MAO) to permit the existing activities including aggregate processing. A portion of the lands are also zoned MOA-38-H permitting a concrete manufacturing plant subject to a (H) Holding provision requiring a Site Plan Agreement. Furthermore, because a portion of the site is designated as Environmental Protection (pertaining to an identified Area of Natural or Scientific Interest [ANSI] - geological formation), the only means to ensure it remains visible, is to continue to dewater the site. The City Clerk has advised that information regarding the PLC will be announced very shortly. The PLC will be able to provide their comments to Council in one direct channel.
intercondication cannot be occur at consider Who is reduced with 1? Robert is Liaison (ask of Julia informatical a PLC perception can ask represer	onnected ecosystem and of be ignored; how can risk at Pit 1 but not be dered for rehabilitation. It is now the authority that will with the rehabilitation of Pit or Committee to work and if JART members for nation. So, will there not be compared to the control of the control	Colborne Zoning By-law: Mineral Aggregate Operation – MAO) to permit the activities including aggregate processing. A portion of the lands are also zone MOA-38-H permitting a concrete manufacturing plant subject to a (H) Holding provision requiring a Site Plan Agreement. Furthermore, because a portion of site is designated as Environmental Protection (pertaining to an identified Are Natural or Scientific Interest [ANSI] - geological formation), the only means to it remains visible, is to continue to dewater the site. The City Clerk has advised that information regarding the PLC will be annount very shortly. The PLC will be able to provide their comments to Council in one

#	Question	Answer
34	With the emphasis on reducing	Pit 3 is not yet fully extracted. The proposal does involve the relocation of processing
	environmental footprint, and the	in the future.
	reduction of greenhouse gases,	
	the elimination of haulage from	
	Pit 3 to Pit 1 will contribute	
	greatly by 2 km of haulage in	
	both directions, including grade	
	crossings at each of Snider and	
	Babion Road. Is this not a	
	significant reason to move	
	processing to Pit 3 ASAP?	
35		Thank you for your feedback.
	processing from Pit 1 to Pit 3	
	should be "set in stone"!	

#	Question	Answer
36	Does the planning comittee have an anonoyms email distribution list which can push updates to interested parties? If not, what is the process for interested parties to keep updated with any developments, and where should interested parties look to keep themselves updated with efficiency.	All future Notices will be posted on the Region's website at https://niagararegion.ca/news/notices/. Notice of the Application is posted at https://niagararegion.ca/news/notices/notice.aspx?q=544. You can also email Britney Fricke or David Schulz to be added to the distribution list for future updates.Britney Fricke, MCIP, RPPSenior PlannerNiagara Region905-980-6000 ext. 3432britney.fricke@niagararegion.caFile Number: ROPA-21-0001David SchulzPlannerCity of Port Colborne905-835-2900 ext. 202david.schulz@portcolborne.caFile Numbers: D09-02-21 and D14-09-21
37	Is Pit 2 being rehabilitated in preparation for a passive lake?	The proposed application is for an expansion to Pit 3 and does not pertain to the Pit 2 lands. The timing to surrender Pit 2 will necessitate the rehabilitation being completed, but also the closure of the internal haul road wherein aggregate from Pit 3 and Pit 3 Extension will continue to be hauled to Pit 1 for processing. Once this occurs, the dewatering pumps will be removed and the subject lands allowed to fill with water. This is anticipated to happen within 12-15 years.

#	Question	Answer
38	As a continuation of the last question. Will the burm be raised or wall installed around pit 3 to cancel out the production noise to the immediate neighbours of pit 3.	Yes, berming around the expansion lands is proposed.
39	How often does JART meet and does JART compete reports or summaries that are made public so that persons can keep abreast of the inquiries and concerns brought forth by JART and whether or not the expectations asked by JART are being met or ignored?	JART is an internal staff review team, and not a public committee. One final technical report will be prepared by JART, for use by the individual governments and agencies. JART does not make recommendations on the applications.
40	Regarding the berms - the City officially recognized the noxious weeds on the berms, years ago, yet the quarry does nothing. Will something be done to correct this with the expansion? Will the berms be brought up to standard?	This is a property standard issue regulated by the City's Property Standards By-law. The By-law requires that "every yard, include vacant lots, shall be kept clean and free from, amongst other things, long grass, brush, undergrowth and noxious weeds as defined by the Weed Control Act." Complaints can be filed with the City.

#	Question	Answer
41	If i am already having serious problems with the blasting now with no concern from the quarry . how am i suppose to protect my place when it comes closer.	The monitored data compiled over the past many years confirms that PCQ Inc. has and continues to operate in compliance with MECP thresholds. Furthermore, as part of the agency review, the 3rd party peer review consultant retained by Niagara Region has requested a 'Flyrock Assessment' which we anticipate would address this issue.
42	The application suggests a relocation of the Municipal Drain to the north behind the Miller Road homes. This was identified at an earlier meeting, and it was mentioned that this was being relocated by the City of Port Colborne. Should this not fall under the Drainage Act?	Yes, the relocation of the municipal drain does fall under the Drainage Act.
43	Who pays for the moving of the drain?	Costs associated with moving the drain are determined by the Engineering preparing the Drainage Report. Port Colborne Quarries will bear most if not all of the costs associated with the drain relocation.
44	Should the quarry not pay for the drain?	See answer above.

#	Question	Answer
45	So is Sean saying that recommendtions and reports will (ie. peer reviews) will not be made available until a final report is completed? Are you saying that the JART process is working in silos and not as a committee until a final report is submitted? what I am asking is there actual meetings that are documented and produced by JART?	The JART is a review team comprised of staff from the Region, City and NPCA. The purpose of the JART is to share information, resources and expertise so that staff are not working in silos. The JART provides a coordinated technical review and engagement/consultation.
46	Why should we trust the applicant to honor its promise to return Pits 2 an 3 to a natural state when the applicant did not honor a promise in the1980s to returnPit one to us as a water par?	The proposed application is for an expansion to Pit 3 and does not pertain to the existing pits or those lands.
47	What is the definition of "scrap" in item 11 of the site notes?	Scrap materials is generally material generated from within the active quarry operations such as used screens from the Screen Plan.
48	What is the current position by reviewers on the setback from the wetlands and woodlands?	Regional staff have not determined our position on the setback from the environmental features. Additional information is required to be submitted by the applicant before we can determine whether policy requirements have been met.

#	Question	Answer
49	Where is the designated area for	Site Plan Note 11 specifies that no scrap will be stored on the site. Because the
	the scrap before it is moved?	processing will be occurring with Pit 3, any generated scrap will be located within 3.