

# What is driving the Master Plan Strategy Update?

Optimization of Existing and Future Infrastructure







## Fostering an Environment for Economic Prosperity

MOE F-5-5 & Regulations

Sustainable







HALL BEAM SHE



S



# Why are we Doing a Water and Wastewater Master Plan?

- so through a fully integrated process with Niagara 2041.
- Plans Approach #2.





• The Region of Niagara has initiated a Region-Wide Water and Wastewater Master Servicing Plan (MSP) to provide safe, sustainable and optimized Water and Wastewater services that support growth. • The MSP will provide the business case for the need, timing and cost of servicing and infrastructure while doing

• The MSP will provide a detailed Regional water and wastewater capital program vetted through the comprehensive Municipal Engineers Association (MEA) Class Environmental Assessment process for Master











# **Providing for Today, Vision for** Tomorrow

To establish a cost-effective infrastructure program that meets the service needs of existing users; meets regulatory and legislative requirements; supports growth; and addresses the priority areas of climate change, energy management, infrastructure optimization, system security and resiliency.



# Water and Wastewater Master Servicing Plan Vision Statement

- planned growth to 2031.
- future growth in the community.

## Fostering an Environment for Economic Prosperity

 In 2011, the Region completed a Water and Wastewater Master Servicing Plan (MSP) update which looked at

• With an updated planning horizon to 2041, the MSP needs to be updated to determine how the Region's Water and Wastewater Infrastructure will support growth in a sustainable and financially responsible manner.

 The MSP will develop a long-termservicing strategy and capital forecast to ensure the maintenance of services for existing residents and businesses as well as to support









# **Environmental Assessment**

# Process & Consultation

- The study follows the Master Plan process as outlined in Section A.2.7 of the Municipal Engineers Association (MEA) Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (Oct 2000, as amended in 2007 and 2011).
- The Master Plan will be filed under Approach #2 of the MEA Class EA Approach for Master Planning.
- The Master Servicing Plan will meet the planning requirements for Schedule A, A+ and select Schedule B projects and provide the basis for future investigations of identified Schedule B and C projects.







**PHASE** 

PHASE 2

PHASE 3

















# WATER AND WASTEWATER MASTER SERVICING PLAN KEY PRINCIPLES AND POLICY

**Development of water and** wastewater principles and policies are integral to provide guidelines and direction to the Master Servicing Plan Update process, as well as to the identification and evaluation of servicing strategies.



- areas
- consideration to energy use
- $\bullet$ and system security
- overflows beyond current conditions

## Fostering an Environment for Economic Prosperity

• Niagara Region will endeavor to maintain sufficient reserve capacity in its water and wastewater infrastructure and facilities to provide operational flexibility and meet potential changes in servicing conditions

• Niagara region shall endeavor to provide reliability, redundancy and security in its water and wastewater systems with attention to high risk and critical

• Niagara Region shall be aware of and consider the potential impact of climate change on the planning and sizing of infrastructure

• Niagara region shall design water and wastewater facilities with

Niagara Region will consider levels of storage beyond MOE Guidelines where appropriate in order to provide operational flexibility, energy management

• Niagara Region will review a combination of servicing strategies including infrastructure and non-infrastructure (e.g. i/i reduction) solutions to meet wet weather level of service and provide sufficient wastewater capacity

• Niagara Region will approach Guidelines F-5-5 and F-5-1 such that new development will not put the Region out of compliance with regulations and the Region will consider opportunities to not increase wet weather







# WATER SERVICING **GRIMSBY WATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM** Grimsby Lincoln West Lincoln

- Treatment: Deficit
- Pumping: Deficit
- Storage: Surplus
- Pressures:
  - » Majority 50-90 psi
  - » High (> 90 psi) minimum pressures in Grimsby and Lincoln
  - » < 40 psi in Smithville with growth
- Fire Flow:
  - » Issues in Smithville
- Security of Supply:
  - » Single branch trunk system

### Challenges

NIAGARA

- Significant growth
- Pumping capacity and treatment plant capacity limitation
- High pressures in Grimsby and Lincoln
- Conveyance capacity in Smithville
- Single branch trunk system







Equivalent



	2014	2041	Grov
Population	62,468	101,442	38,9













# **Grimsby Water Treatment Plant System**















# WATER SERVICING NIAGARA FALLS WATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM Niagara Falls | Niagara-on-the-Lake | Thorold

- Treatment: Surplus
- Pumping:
  - » Existing surplus
  - » Future peak pumping deficit
- Storage:
  - » Existing surplus; balancing storage deficit
  - » Future deficit
- Pressures: 50-90 psi
- Fire Flow:
  - » Adequate capacity within the local system
- Security of Supply:
  - » Connections to growth in South
  - » Additional trunk lines to Decew
  - » High friction losses in distribution system

## Challenges

NIAGARA

- Significant growth in South
- Future storage deficit and existing balancing storage deficit = stress on existing pump capacity at treatment plant

Fostering an Environment for Economic Prosperity







Equivalent

	2014	204 I	Grov
Population	131,081	185,070	53,9







# WATER SERVICING **DECEW WATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM** Niagara-on-the-Lake | St. Catharines | Lincoln | Thorold

- Treatment: Surplus
- Pumping: Surplus
- Storage:
  - » Surplus in 154 and 164
  - » Deficit in all other zones
- Pressures: 50-90 psi
- Fire Flow:
  - » Adequate capacity within the local system
- Security of Supply:
  - » Single trunk to Niagara-on-the-Lake
  - » Single trunk to Lincoln

## Challenges

NIAGARA

- Significant built boundary growth
- Managing storage deficits via pumping and conveyance
- Conveyance and storage to Niagara-on-the-Lake
- Growth related conveyance limitations









	2014	204 I	Grov
Population	264,332	342,669	78,3





# Niagara Falls and Decew Water Treatment Plant System

















# WATER SERVICING **ROSEHILL WATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM** Fort Erie

- Treatment: Surplus
- Pumping: Surplus
- Storage: Deficit
- Pressures: 50-90 psi
- Fire Flow:
  - » < 40 psi along trunk
  - » Adequate capacity within the local system
- Security of Supply:
  - » Single trunk feed to Downtown
  - » Single trunk feed to Crystal Beach

## Challenges

NIAGARA

- Significant growth
- Large employment growth in central Fort Erie
- Limited floating storage = sub-optimal pumping strategy and energy management
- Existing and increasing storage deficit

Fostering an Environment for Economic Prosperity



40 MDD 20 10 ()2011 Historical Average MDD (MLD) **– – –** 80% Trigger

60

50

Equivalent



	2014	204 I	Grow
Population	43,008	61,792	18,78











## Fostering an Environment for Economic Prosperity

# **ROSEHILL WATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM**















# WATER SERVICING PORT COLBORNE WATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM **Port Colborne**

- Treatment: Surplus
- Pumping: Surplus
- Storage:
  - » Existing deficit\*
  - » Future surplus
- Pressures:
  - » Majority 50-90 psi
  - » Localized 40-50 psi
- Fire Flow:
  - » < 40 psi in NE
  - » Limitations in NE growth area
- Security of Supply:
  - » Single trunk feed to East side of canal
  - » No storage on East side of canal

### Challenges

NIAGARA

- Limited floating storage = sub-optimal pumping strategy and energy management
- Low pressure areas
- Limited security of supply to East of canal











# PORT COLBORNE WATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM





Niagara // Region
2016 Master
Servicing Plan
Port Colborne WTP System
WATER SERVICING
STRATEGY
Legend
Water Treatment Plant
Pump Station
Reservoir
Elevated Tanks
Pressure Reducing Valves (PRV)
—— Local Watermain
Regional Watermain
Pressure Zone System
223
Urban Boundary
MSP Strategy
Decommissioned Reservoir
Decommissioned Pumping Station
Decommissioned Elevated Tank
New Elevated Tank
Additional Conveyance
Growth Area
Residential and Employment Growth
Residential Growth
vember 2016 5023 - 35 - W - 4 - A - PIC
D 1983 UTM Zone 17N













# WATER SERVICING WELLAND WATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM Welland Pelham

- Treatment: Surplus
- Pumping:

»Upper zones (Pelham) deficit

»Lower zone (Welland) surplus

• Storage:

»Upper zones (Pelham) deficit

- »Lower zone (Welland) surplus
- Pressures:
  - »Majority 50-90 psi
  - »Growth pressure issue in Fonthill
  - »Pressures outside optimal along zone boundaries
- Fire Flow:
  - »< 40 psi in North
  - »FF limitations in Pelham
- Security of Supply:

»Single trunk feed to Fenwick

### Challenges

NIAGARA

- Pumping and storage deficits in upper zones (Pelham)
- Shoalt's Pumping Station operational limitations
- Bemis Elevated Tank operational issues
- Limited distribution capacity in Fonthill
- Pressures outside optimal along zone boundaries



40 20 0 2011 Historical Average MDD (MLD) **– – –** 80% Trigger

120

100

80

60

(MLD)

MDD

Equivalent



	2014	204 I	Grov
Population	97,321	129,532	32,2













# WELLAND WATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM















# HOW WE FLOW WASTEWATER SERVICING **BAKER ROAD WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT** SYSTEM Grimsby Lincoln West Lincoln

### • Treatment: **Deficit**

- Pumping Stations:
  - » Several PS impacted under criteria
  - » Numerous pumping stations
- Conveyance: Greatest impact downstream of Smithville
- Wet Weather Commentary:
  - » West Grimsby: Pockets of High Inflow and Infiltration
  - » Smithville: Demonstrated Inflow and Infiltration impacts
  - » Lincoln: High Inflow and Infiltration

### Challenges

NIAGARA

- Residential and employment growth spread out along highway and service road corridors
- Large number of pumping stations and cascading impact  $\bullet$
- Significant growth in Smithville impacting pumping station and forcemain
- Areas of high Inflow and Infiltration





Equivalent

	Projected Future Average Flow at Baker Road WWTP				
_					
201 age F	6 20 Iow Projec	20 21 20 20 20 20	26 20 Plant Capacity	31 2 80% Trigger	036 – – 90% Trigg

	2014	204 I	Grov
Population	75,773	119,441	43,6









# **GRIMSBY WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM**



![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Treatment: Okay
- Pumping Stations:
  - » Generally okay under criteria
  - » Impacted under wet weather
- Conveyance:
  - » Generally okay under criteria
  - » Impacted under wet weather
- Wet Weather Commentary:
  - » Significant combined areas
  - » Very high wet weather impacts
  - » Greater need for inter-municipal solutions

### Challenges

NIAGARA

- Primarily built boundary growth
- Significant combined areas
- Trunk follows river system and in escarpment area

# WASTEWATER SERVICING PORT DALHOUSIE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM St. Catharines | Thorold

![](_page_18_Figure_26.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_27.jpeg)

### Equivalent

	2014	204 I	Grov
Population	116,309	156,164	39,8

![](_page_18_Picture_31.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

# PORT DALHOUSIE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

# WASTEWATER SERVICING PORT WELLER WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM St. Catharines | Thorold

- Treatment: Okay
- Pumping Stations:
  - » Generally okay under criteria
  - » Impacted under wet weather
- Conveyance:
  - » Generally okay under criteria
  - » Impacted under wet weather
- Wet Weather Commentary:
  - » Significant combined areas
  - » Very high wet weather impacts

### » Greater need for inter-municipal solutions Challenges

- Primarily built boundary growth
- Significant combined areas
- Trunk within built up areas with known CSO issues
- Thorold growth impacts Port Weller system
- Growth in South Thorold

![](_page_20_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_25.jpeg)

### Equivalent P

	2014	204 I	Grow
opulation	116,309	156,164	39,85

![](_page_20_Picture_29.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_30.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

# PORT WELLER WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Fostering an Environment for Economic Prosperity

	Niagara // Region
	2016 Master
	Servicing Plan
	Port Weller WWTP System
	WASTEWATER
	SERVICING STRATEGY
L	egend
	Sewage Pumping Stations (Regional)
	Sewage Pumping Stations (Municipal)
	Sewage Pumping Stations (Private)
	Wastewater Treatment Plant
	<ul> <li>Combined Sewage Detention Facility</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Leachate Pumping Station</li> <li>Leachate Pumping Station</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Lagoon</li> <li>Control Facility</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Sanitary Forcemain</li> </ul>
	Private Sewer
	— Local Sewer
	Regional Sewer
4	Urban Boundary
	Port Weller WWTP Catchment
	MSP Strategy
	Wet Weather Reduction
	Upgraded Pumping Station
•	Additional Conveyance
(	Growth Area
	Residential and Employment Growth
	Residential Growth
	Employment Growth

November 2016 715023-22-13-WW-PIC3\_PortWeller NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N

![](_page_21_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

# WASTEWATER SERVICING NIAGARA FALLS WWTP SYSTEM Niagara Falls

- Treatment: Approaching capacity
- Pumping Stations:
  - » Capacity impacts under design criteria
  - » Significant wet weather impacts
- Conveyance:
  - » Generally okay under criteria
  - » Impacted under wet weather
- Wet Weather Commentary:
  - » Existing combined areas
  - » Very high wet weather impacts
  - » Greater need for inter-municipal solutions

### Challenges

NIAGARA

- Significant greenfield growth areas in South at upstream limit of existing system
- Significant cascading impacts due to size of system
- Constraints in neighbouring systems could require integrated solutions with Niagara Falls

![](_page_22_Figure_27.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_28.jpeg)

	2014	204 I	Grov
opulation	128,263	179,848	51,5

![](_page_22_Picture_31.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_32.jpeg)

# **BOW WE FLOW**

# **NIAGARA FALLS WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM**

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

Niagara // Region	
2016 Master	
Servicing Plan	
Niagara Falls WWTP System	
WASTEWATER	
SERVICING STRATEGY	
Legend	
Sewage Pumping Stations (Regional)	
Sewage Pumping Stations (Municipal)	
Sewage Pumping Stations (Private)	
Wastewater Treatment Plant	
<ul> <li>Combined Sewage Detention Facility</li> <li>Loophote Dumping Station</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Age of the second second</li></ul>	
Sanitary Forcemain	
Private Sewer	
—— Local Sewer	
Regional Sewer	
Urban Boundary	
Niagara Falls WWTP Catchment	
MSP Strategy	
Wet Weather Reduction	
Upgraded Pumping Station	
Additional Conveyance	
Growth Area	
Residential and Employment Growth	
Residential Growth	
Employment Growth	
November 2016	
715023-25-13-WW-PIC3_NiagaraFalls NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N	

![](_page_23_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

# WASTEWATER SERVICING **NIAGARA-ON-THE-LAKE WASTEWATER TREATMENT** PLANT SYSTEM Niagara-on-the-Lake

- Treatment: Approaching capacity
- Pumping Stations:
  - » Virgil: Impacted by growth
  - » Old Town: Good under criteria
- Conveyance:
  - » Generally okay under criteria
  - » Impacted under wet weather
- Wet Weather Commentary:
  - » Virgil: Impacted under wet weather
  - » Old Town: Wet weather in line with criteria

### Challenges

NIAGARA

- Development outside of urban boundary
- Pump discharges to WWTP
- Joint ownership pump stations

Fostering an Environment for Economic Prosperity

![](_page_24_Figure_24.jpeg)

### Equivalent

	2014	204 I	Grov
Population	17,204	21,532	4,32

![](_page_24_Picture_28.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_29.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **NIAGARA-ON-THE-LAKE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT** SYSTEM

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

# WASTEWATER SERVICING **ANGER AVENUE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT** SYSTEM Fort Erie

- Treatment: Okay
- Pumping Stations:
  - » Alliston: Impacted by growth
  - » East Fort Erie: Wet weather impacts
- Conveyance:
  - » Generally okay under criteria
  - » Impacted under wet weather
- Wet Weather Commentary:
  - » High Inflow and Infiltration to Eastern pumping stations

## Challenges

NIAGARA

- Servicing of Northwest growth areas
- Trunks through existing built areas

Fostering an Environment for Economic Prosperity

![](_page_26_Figure_18.jpeg)

### Equivalent

	2014	204 I	Grov
Population	25,148	37,507	12,3

![](_page_26_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **ANGER AVENUE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM**

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Fostering an Environment for Economic Prosperity

![](_page_27_Picture_11.jpeg)

November 2016 715023-29-13-WW-PIC3\_Anger NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N

![](_page_27_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

# HOW WE FLOW WASTEWATER SERVICING **CRYSTAL BEACH WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT** SYSTEM Fort Erie

- Treatment: Okay
- Pumping Stations:
  - » Generally okay under criteria
  - » Shirley Rd: Not meeting criteria
- Conveyance:
  - » Generally okay under criteria
  - » Impacted under wet weather
- Wet Weather Commentary:
  - » Erie Road and Nigh Road impacted under wet weather

### Challenges

• Primarily built boundary growth

![](_page_28_Picture_13.jpeg)

10.0	
9.0	
8.0	
7.0	
<u>6.0</u>	
WPL 5.0	
<sup>8</sup> 년 4.0	
3.0	
2.0	
1.0	
0.0	
20	11 Historical A

### Equivalent

![](_page_28_Figure_22.jpeg)

	2014	204 I	Grov
Population	10,918	14,163	3,24

![](_page_28_Picture_24.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_25.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_26.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **CRYSTAL BEACH WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM**

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

# WASTEWATER SERVICING **STEVENSVILLE AND DOUGLASTOWN WASTEWATER TREATMENT** SYSTEM Fort Erie

- Treatment: Okay
- Pumping Stations:
  - » Douglastown: Generally okay under criteria
  - » Stevensville: Impacted under criteria
- Conveyance:
  - » Generally okay under criteria
  - » Impacted under wet weather
- Wet Weather Commentary:
  - » Inflow and infiltration reduction opportunity

### Challenges

• Limited growth capacity

![](_page_30_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_20.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_21.jpeg)

	2014	204 I	Grov
Population	5,294	7,408	2,1

![](_page_30_Picture_25.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_26.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

# HOW WE FLOW

# **STEVENSVILLE | DOUGLASTOWN LAGOONS**

![](_page_31_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

# WASTEWATER SERVICING SEAWAY WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM **Port Colborne**

- Treatment: Okay
- Pumping Stations:
  - » Impacted by growth
  - » Existing capacity limitation at Steele and Rosemount South
- Conveyance:
  - » Generally okay under criteria
  - » Impacted under wet weather
- Wet Weather Commentary:
  - » Large growth land area relative to growth population

### Challenges

NIAGARA

- Small growth attribute to large areas
- Large greenfield areas potential to trigger Inflow and Infiltration related upgrades
- Canal crossing capacity to service East side growth

Fostering an Environment for Economic Prosperity

![](_page_32_Picture_22.jpeg)

Equivalent

	2014	<b>204</b>	Grov
Population	24,087	28,678	4,59

![](_page_32_Picture_25.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_26.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **PORT COLBORNE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM**

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Treatment: Approaching capacity
- Pumping Stations:
  - » Impacted by growth
  - » Existing capacity limitations at Park Lane and Foss under design
- Conveyance:
  - » Generally okay under criteria
  - » Impacted under wet weather
- Wet Weather Commentary:
  - » High wet weather impacts
  - » Significant combined areas

### Challenges

- Combined areas
- Areas of high Inflow and Infiltration
- Canal crossing

NIAGARA

Fostering an Environment for Economic Prosperity

# WASTEWATER SERVICING WELLAND WWTP SYSTEM Welland Pelham

60.0 50.0 40.0 Flow(MLD) 20.0 10.0 0.0 2011 Historical Aver

### Equivalent

Projected Future Average Flow at Welland WWTP					
•					
20 rage	16 20 Flow (MLD) — Proj	21 20 jected Average Flow (ML	26 20 D) — Plant Capaci	31 20 ty <b>– –</b> 80% Trigger	36 — — 90% Tr

	2014	204 I	Grov
Population	98,686	132,953	34,2

![](_page_34_Picture_24.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_25.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_26.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

# WELLAND WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT SYSTEM

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Fostering an Environment for Economic Prosperity

# **Integrated South** Niagara Falls Servicing Concepts

![](_page_36_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_10.jpeg)